

# Improving UVM test benches using UVM Run time phases

Karthik Palepu Lingkai Shi Prosper Chen



# Agenda

- Introduction
  - Common Issues
  - Solution
  - Run time Phase Header Macro
  - Coordination of all reset sources
  - Phase Jump API
- Conclusions
- References
- Appendix

2024/9/10





2

### Introduction

- Common Issues Across Testbenches
  - How to end test cleanly?
  - How to handle a fatal case?
  - Where to disable all stimulus?
  - When to enable the stimulus in a particular scenario?
- Reusable Methodology Using UVM Run Time Phases
  - Addresses synchronization issues between various components



2024/9/10



## **Issue 1: Thread Synchronization**



- Multiple reset threads triggered by fatal event
  - Can mess with execution of subsequent events
  - The regression is barely achievable.
- Complex activities in reset phase
  - Warm reset, cold reset, s3 (Ultra Low Power State, Deep sleep, Stutter Mode... etc)
  - Must catch fatal error during execution
  - Must trigger reset event repeatedly
- Potential issue with threads
  - Multiple reset threads running
  - Messing up order of execution





Δ

### Issue 2: End of Simulation

- End of simulation in UVM testbenches requires disabling all stimulus
  - Communication among multiple components introduces race conditions
- Dependencies between components can result in race conditions
  - Issue exacerbates in System-on-Chip (SOC) with numerous interdependent components
- Scalable UVM architecture needed for comprehensive solution
  - Architectural changes ensure visibility of state and termination process
  - Effective management of dependencies and prevention of race conditions







### **Issue 3: Reusability**

- Run Phase Encapsulates Majority of Logic
  - Modifying or altering presents considerable challenge
- Dealing with Legacy Code
  - Large and intricate, adds extra layer of difficulty
- Transitioning to New Architectures
  - Minimize alterations to maintain robustness
  - Prevent introduction of new issues
- Transparent Transition for Customer
  - Seamless integration of delivered IP for different SOC
  - No unexpected changes or complications
- Target:
  - Merge all codes from different component of TB into one unified place.
    - The test end event spread among sequence, test\_base, monitor before.





6

### Solution

- UVM supports multiple subphases within the run phase
  - Granular control helps manage interdependencies between components, resolve issue2, end of simulation.
- Automatically terminates threads initiated by a subphase while transitioning to another phase
  - Avoids race conditions of issue1, thread synchronization.
- UVM subphases facilitate the modulation of reset behavior
  - Comprehensive rewrite of reset resolve **issue3**, **reusability**
- Novel methodology introduced
  - Manipulate subphases of run\_phase through API-centric approach to surgically control the very phase jump behavior of reset.
  - Allows for reuse of majority of existing code by tweaking the reset function apart from main logic in main\_phase.
  - Streamlines integration of UVM subphases into existing TB by modifying only the TEST without UVM Component rework.







2024

## **Sub Phase Implementation**



- All activities corresponding to one phase are implemented under one sub phase instead of multiple places across the TB.
- All similar activities can implement Macro based approach which increases reusability and reduces clutter.
- Scoreboard is presented by checker/assertion after sequence completion







### Implementation1: Runtime Phase Header Macro

```
`define subphase_header(SUBPHASE_NAME, TASK_NAME, <mark>EVENT_NAME</mark>, PARA1, PARA2) \
begin \
  uvm_event_pool::get_global_pool().get("``EVENT_NAME").wait_on(); \
  phase.raise objection(this, "test base ``SUBPHASE NAME" raised an objection", 1); \
  fork \
    begin \
      fork \
         ``TASK_NAME``(``PARA1``, ``PARA2``); \//Execute the activity
        @``SUBPHASE NAME end; \backslash //Kill the activity by the Hook
      join_any
      disable fork;
    end
  join
  phase.drop_objection(this, "uvm_test_base dropped object on reset_phase", 1); \
  uvm event pool::get global pool("``EVENT NAME".reset(); \
end
```

- Reduces code repetition and enhances robustness
  - Waits for event trigger and forks associated task
  - Incorporates global event to suspend ongoing tasks
  - Accommodates multiple parallel phase jump calls (Next 2 pages)
  - Offers flexibility for global TB control
  - Prevents corner cases from improper user interactions
- Takes in 5 inputs
  - SUBPHASE\_NAME, TASK\_NAME, EVENT\_NAME, and two PARAMETERS
- Standardization ensures predictable, smooth, and controlled task execution
  - Next case managed by different event





### Implementation2: Coordination of All Reset Sources

task reset\_phase(uvm\_phase phase);

```
event reset_phase<mark>_end</mark>;
```

phase.raise\_objection(this, "test\_base, reset\_phase rasied on objection", 1);
fork

#### begin // Thread 1: cold\_reset\_event

`subphase\_header(reset\_phase, do\_cold\_reset\_all, exec\_cold\_reset\_event,); end

#### begin // Thread 2: warm\_reset\_event

`subphase\_header(reset\_phase, do\_warm\_reset\_all, exec\_warm\_reset\_event,); end

#### begin // Thread 3: s3\_event

`subphase\_header(reset\_phase, do\_s3\_all, exec\_s3\_event,);

#### end

#### begin // Thread 4: Check fatal error happen during reset\_phase

uvm\_event\_pool::get\_global\_pool().get("disable\_main\_thread").wait\_on();
// wait for global kill

->reset\_phase\_end; //Trigger reset\_phase\_end. This will kill Thread 1, 2, 3. end

#### join\_any

phase.drop\_objection(this, "test\_base, reset\_phase dropped object on reset\_phase", 1);
`uvm\_info(get\_report\_id("test\_base\_reset\_phase"), \$sformatf("Finish reset\_phase"),);
endtask: reset\_phase

- Reset phase implemented as a sub phase in run using sub\_phase user API and sub\_phase header
  - Three threads execute tasks using subphase\_header macro
  - Fourth thread waits on global event to kill reset phase
  - Reset\_phase\_end event kills threads 1, 2, 3
  - phase\_jump API triggers corresponding activity and enters reset phase in run phase (next page)
- Revisions implemented at test level
  - API invoked in main sequence of TB
  - Subphases not advised at Agent/Env level
  - May result in incompatibility with upstream SOC infrastructure





### Implementation3: Phase Jump API

<pre>task phase_jump(string phase_name, uvm_event event_trigger_list[\$]);</pre>	if ( <mark>m_target_phase</mark> ==null) // (Error) fail to find the target phase
uvm_phase <mark>m_target_phase</mark> ;	else // (Info) start did find the target phase
uvm_event trigger_event_list[\$];	if (m_target_phase.is(current_phase)) begin // trigger event & let it happens
// (Info) Start jump to -> phase_name	foreach(trigger_event_list[i]) begin
if ( (phase_name != "reset") & (phase_name != "configure")	trigger_event_list[i].trigger;
& (phase_name != "shutdown"))	end
<pre>// (Error) Can't recognize the subphase</pre>	end
while (current_phase.get_name=="start_of_simulation"	else if ( <mark>m_target_phase</mark> .is_before(current_phase))
current_phase.get_name=="run"	// need to jump, just allow jump from main phase to others as for now
current_phase.get_name=="pre_reset" )	while (current_phase.is(current_phase.find_by_name("main"))==0)
<pre>// (Info) Waiting to main_phase</pre>	@(current_phase);
#1ps;	foreach(trigger_event_list[i])
end	trigger_event_list[i].trigger;
<pre>m_target_phase=current_phase.find_by_name(phase_name);</pre>	current_phase.jump( <mark>m_target_phase</mark> );
case (phase_name)	end
"reset" : begin	else if ( <mark>m_target_phase</mark> .is_after(current_phase)) begin
foreach (event_trigger_list[i]) begin	// only when target phase is shutdown phase, need to jump
trigger_event_list.push_back(event_trigger_list[i]);	if ((current_phase.is(current_phase.find_by_name("reset"))==1)
end	&& (phase_name == "shutdown"))
end	while (current_phase.is(current_phase.find_by_name("main"))==0)
"configure" : begin	@(current_phase);
foreach (event_trigger_list[i]) begin	end
trigger_event_list.push_back(event_trigger_list[i]);	foreach(trigger_event_list[i])
end	trigger_event_list[i].trigger;
end	if (phase_name == "shutdown")
"shutdown" : begin	current_phase.jump( <mark>m_target_phase</mark> );
foreach (event_trigger_list[i]) begin	end
trigger_event_list.push_back(event_trigger_list[i]);	m_target_phase.wait_for_state(UVM_PHASE_READY_TO_END); // Hook
end	trigger_event_list.delete();
end	endtask : phase_jump
endcase	







# Conclusions

• UVM run-time phases offer efficient solution to synchronization challenges

- Enhances control over simulation flows
- Resolve potential race conditions
- Requires minimal modifications to existing TB
- Contributions
  - Methodology applied to TB used by over 60 individuals
  - Achieved by single resource within 3 months
  - Did not disrupt existing project timeline
- Strategies can be adapted to various TB structures
  - Ensures robust and adaptable TB architecture
- Provides efficient, flexible, and resource-effective solution
  - Improves UVM TB synchronization and manageability



2024/9/10





• Thanks for your participation!





2024

DVCON TAIWAN

### References

- Authors: Brian Hunter, Ben Chen and Rebecca Lipon
  - Published in the Proceedings of SNUG SV



2024/9/10

